

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE |                             | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/786,963                  | 03/12/2001                  | Mamoru Miyamori        | JG-SU-5047/5        | 8292             |  |
| 26418                       | 7590 11/05/2003             |                        | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| REED SMI                    | TH, LLP<br>ENT RECORDS DEPA | YEUNG, GEORGE CHAN PUT |                     |                  |  |
|                             | TON AVENUE, 29TH            | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
| NEW YORK                    | , NY 10022-7650             |                        | 1761                |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | V-8  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |  | Application No.         |                       | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | 09/786,963              |                       | MIYAMORI ET AL.                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | Examiner                |                       | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | George C Yeu            |                       | 1761   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM   |  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>January 16 ,2003 and August 22, 2003</u> .   |  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)□  |  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -   | on of Claims   | •                       |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| =   | 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| •   | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers   |  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| • •   | The specification is objected to by the Examine  | er.                     |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| , —   | •  |                         | iected to by the Exan | niner.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  |  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |  |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment  | _  | , ,                     |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>@</u> | 4)<br>5)<br>01162003 6) | Notice of Informal P  | (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>Patent Application (PTO-152 |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/786,963

Art Unit: 1761

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the word "works" used throughout the abstract is improper and it should apparently read -- foods -- (see lines 3, 6, 7, 10 and 12 of the amended abstract filed May 19, 2003). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered new claim 16 submitted with the January 16, 2003 Amendment has been renumbered as claim 17.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/786,963

Art Unit: 1761

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by MacKenzie et al (US Patent 2,565,454).

Claims 4 and 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacKenzie et al (US Patent 2,565,454). It is not deemed that the features variously recited in dependent claims 4 and 8-17 would define unobvious subject matter over the teaching of MacKenzie et al in the absence of any new or unexpected results. The features recited in these dependent claims are considered to be obvious matters of structural design well within the skill of an ordinary artisan in the field of food technology.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Examiner George C. Yeung whose telephone number is (703) 308-3848. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 a.m. to 7:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (703) 308-3959. The fax phone numbers

Application/Control Number: 09/786,963

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

for the organization where this application is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

G.C. Yeung/dh October 17, 2003

GEORGE C. YEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER